



PUBLIC NOTICE

News media information 202 / 418-0500
Fax-On-Demand 202 / 418-2830
Internet: <http://www.fcc.gov>
<ftp.fcc.gov>

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

DA 99-1627
Released: August 16, 1999

**WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS BUREAU REQUESTS COMMENT ON
WIRELESS E911 REPORT FILED BY CTIA, PCIA, APCO, NENA, AND NASNA ON
AUGUST 9, 1999**

CC Docket No. 94-102

Comment Date: September 14, 1999

On August 9, 1999, a Report of CTIA, APCO, NENA, and NASNA was filed in response to a Public Notice released by the Commission on June 9, 1999.¹ On August 10, 1999, an Erratum was filed to include PCIA in the title. Comments in response to the Public Notice also were filed on August 3 by the King County E911 Program and, on August 9, by AT&T Corp., Omnipoint Communications, Inc., and SCC Communications Corporation.

The Public Notice requested the report from the parties to an initial Consensus Agreement that the Commission relied on in establishing requirements for wireless Enhanced 911 (E911) services. The Public Notice found that implementation of Phase I of E911 has not occurred as anticipated, and that issues relating to cost recovery mechanisms and choice of Phase I transmission technologies may be causing the delays. These issues also are the subject of petitions for reconsideration and clarification of the *E911 Reconsideration Order* and the E911 rules that are pending Commission review.² Based on information that the parties to the initial Consensus Agreement were engaged in discussions on these issues, the Commission requested a report on their positions on these and other factors that may be responsible for the Phase I delays. The

¹ Public Notice, Commission Seeks to Facilitate Wireless E911 Implementation and Requests a Report, FCC 99-132 (released June 9, 1999).

² Revision of the Commission's Rules to Ensure Compatibility with Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems, CC Docket No. 94-102, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 22665 (1997) (*E911 Reconsideration Order*); Section 20.18 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 20.18.

report would augment the record on the petitions and ensure the Commission takes such actions as necessary to achieve E911 implementation.

The Public Notice encouraged other interested parties to give consideration to these issues. The Commission anticipated that any reports or comments filed by the Consensus Agreement parties would be made available to all other interested parties for review and comment to ensure a complete record. Accordingly, the Bureau provides interested parties an opportunity to file comments in response to the report. The comments should address the positions and issues presented in the report and, as with the report, would augment the record on the petitions that are pending Commission review and decision. In addition, commenters are invited to address the four comments, identified above, and any other comments that were filed in response to the Public Notice of June 9, 1999.

FILING SCHEDULE AND PROCEDURAL MATTERS

Comments must be filed by **September 14, 1999**. Parties should reference CC Docket No. 94-102 in their comments. Parties may obtain the report and the comments in this Public Notice at the FCC website, <<http://www.fcc.gov/e-file/ecfs.html>>. The report and comments are available for public inspection and copying in the Reference Center, Room CY A-257, 445 12th St., S.W., Washington, DC 20554. Copies of the report and comments also are available from ITS, at 1231 20th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036, or by calling (202) 857-3800.

Comments may be filed using the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS) or by filing paper copies. Comments filed through the ECFS can be sent as an electronic file via the Internet to <<http://www.fcc.gov/e-file/ecfs.html>>. Generally, only one copy of an electronic submission must be filed. If multiple docket or rulemaking numbers appear in the caption of this proceeding, however, commenters must transmit one electronic copy of the comments to each docket or rulemaking number referenced in the caption. In completing the transmittal screen, commenters should include their full name, Postal Service mailing address, and the applicable docket or rulemaking number. Parties may also submit an electronic comment by Internet e-mail. To get filing instructions for e-mail comments, commenters should send an e-mail to ecfs@fcc.gov, including "get form <your e-mail address>" in the body of the message. A sample form and directions will be sent in reply.

Interested parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and four copies of their comments with the Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 445 Twelfth St., S.W., Room TW-A325, Washington, D.C. 20554. In addition, parties should send two copies to Barbara Reideler, Policy Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 Twelfth St., S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554, and one copy to ITS, at 1231 20th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036.

This matter shall be treated as a "permit-but-disclose" proceeding in accordance with the Commission's *ex parte* rules. 47 C.F.R. §§ 1.1200(a), 1.1206. Persons making *ex parte* presentations on an oral basis are reminded that memoranda summarizing the presentations must contain summaries of the substance of the presentations and not merely a listing of the subjects discussed. More than a two-sentence description of the views and arguments presented is

generally required. See 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206(b). Other rules pertaining to oral and written *ex parte* presentations in permit-but-disclose proceedings are set forth in section 1.1206(b) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206(b).

For further information, contact Barbara Reideler at (202)418-1310, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Policy Division. The fax number is: (202)418-7247. The TTY number is: (202) 418-0484.